UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,991	09/09/2003	Ridwan Shabsigh	0575/58075-Z/JPW/AJM/HA 4213		
John P. White	7590 11/30/2007		EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunham LLP			KELLY, ROBERT M		
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1633		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applic		Applicant(s)	cant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,991		SHABSIGH, RIDWAN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
,		Robert M. Kelly		1633				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the main of the period for reply is specified at Failure to reply within the set or extensions and the patent term adjustment. See	, FROM THE MAILING C e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. illing date of this communication. pove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statut er than three months after the mailir	DATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e. cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this c				
Status								
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 13.5	Sentember 2007						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 September 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 							
4. , , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		, ,	,		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 9.10 and 12	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 12-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/ard								
:	subject to restriction and/o	or election requir	ement					
Application Papers		or election require	Sment.					
	٠,							
9) The specification is o		,						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9	·						
12) Acknowledgment is n a) All b) Some * €		n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3 Copies of the	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		· ·						
Attachment(s)	•							
1) Notice of References Cited (PT		4) [] Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <u></u>	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	tent Application				

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and argument of 9/13/07 are entered.

Claims 9, 14, and 18 are amended.

Claims 9, 10, and 12-21 are presently pending and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - new matter

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

In light of the amendments, the rejections of Claims 14-17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, are withdrawn.

To wit, Applicant has amended the claims to increasing VEGF levels in the penis of subjects afflicted by erectile dysfunction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - Enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-10 and 12-21 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for methods of treating erectile dysfunction, in a penis, wherein the subject is suffering from erectile dysfunction, comprising administration of a vector encoding VEGF into the corpus cavernosa, wherein the VEGF is expressed in the corpus

Art Unit: 1633

cavernosa, thereby increasing or maintaining VEGF levels in the corpus cavernosa, thereby increasing or maintaining the blood supply in the corpus cavernosa, and thereby treating erectile dysfunction, does not reasonably provide enablement for the absence of expression of the VEGF, the expression of VEGF without consequent treatment of erectile dysfunction, or increasing/maintaining blood supply in the penis without treating erectile dysfunction for reasons of record, and as further expanded below. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant's claims encompass treating patients with erectile dysfunction, by transforming a cell of the corpora cavernosa. However, the claims do not require the expression of VEGF transgene, but only permissive conditions in the cell for expression of such. The purpose given throughout the specification for doing so is to treat erectile dysfunction, and hence, these claims must be enabled for treatment of erectile dysfunction.

The nature of the invention demonstrates that the art generally believed that new inventions in the field of gene therapy are not enabled, absent proof otherwise (e.g., Official Action of 1/13/06, pp. 9-10).

With regard to the expression of VEGF, such must necessarily be expressed, as nothing in Applicant's specification and/or the Art demonstrates that the nucleic acid alone is required to provide therapeutic effect, and the only evidence is that the VEGF protein itself provides the effect (e.g., U.S. Patent No. 6,706,682, to Shabsigh).

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant's specification does not provide any more guidance or direction to reasonably predict more than is shown in the Art, and further, the Examples are limited to findings of which VEGF protein isoforms are present in the penis.

With regard to increasing/maintaining VEGF without treating erectile dysfunction (Claim 14 and dependent claims) and increasing/maintaining blood supply without treating erectile dysfunction (Claim 9 and dependent claims), it is clear that these claims are meant to embrace non-treatment methods, wherein the VEGF is too low to affect blood supply, or blood supplies not increased/maintained enough for treatment, as the claims must have a distinct scope from that of other independent claims (i.e., Claim 18). However, the whole purpose of these methods is to treat erectile dysfunction (e.g., confluence of specification, TITLE). Hence, the Artisan would have to determine what other uses exist for incrasing/maintaining blood supply and increasing/maintaining blood supply in patients with erectile dysfunction, such that this specifically encompassed embodiment in each case would be enabled for something that is patentable.

Hence, the Artisan would have to experiment to determine those VEGF transgenes which could be delivered to affect therapy in normal tissues, and affect therapy without expression.

Such is considered undue, as it would amount to inventing the breadth of Applicant's invention for Applicant. Still further, the Artisan would have to experiment to determine those other embodiments that are enabled which do not require treatment of erectile dysfunction (simple increases of VEGF which do not affect erectile treatment and simple increases in blood flow which do not treat erectile dysfunction). Such is also considered undue, as it would amount to inventing the breadth of specifically claimed embodiments for Applicant.

Art Unit: 1633

Therefore, these claims are only enabled for such breadth as provided in the initial paragraphs of this rejection.

Response to Argument - Enablement

Applicant's argument of 9/13/07 has been fully considered but is not found persuasive.

It is noted that those arguments provided do not address the enablement with regard to expression the VEGF transgene, but the Arguments are noted to overcome the other bases of rejection argued.

With regard to the new arguments, the rejection is non-final because they could have been made before.

Claims Free of the Prior Art

The claims remain free of the prior art of record.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kelly, Art Unit 1633, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Kelly, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1633 Patents Hoteling Program

Mailbox 2C70, Remsen Building

(571) 272-0729

M. J. J. M. M.